REMARKS

Claims 1-7, 14-21, 34-50 are pending in the application. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 15, 19-21, 39, and 43 are currently amended. Claims 37, 51 and 52 are currently cancelled.

Oath/Declaration

Applicant's attorney attaches a copy of the declaration that was filed in this case with a response to missing parts on May 29, 1998 (Appendix A). This should satisfy the requirement to submit a replacement declaration. Inventor Russell T. Jordan is now deceased.

Claim Amendments

Claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the composition is a pharmaceutical grade material. Claims 2 and 3 have been amended to clarify that the ratio is a molar ratio, as is consistent with the first paragraph of the detailed description. Claim 19 has been amended to recite the antioxidant as a mans, as is consistent with the disclosed embodiments of the specification and the structural equivalents thereof. Claims 20 and 21 have been amended to narrow the list of antioxidants.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 20-21 and 51-52 stand rejected for nonenablement. Applicants' attorney respectfully disagrees. Although the list of antioxidants has been narrowed in claims 20 and 21, claim 19 addresses an antioxidant means as is consistent with the disclosure. The teaching is that the ascorbates and NDGA derivatives stabilize the oxine, and this does not require undue experimentation.

Claim Rejections ~35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1 and 2 stand rejected over United States Patent number 4,766,113 issued to West et al. West '113 describes the formulation of a wood-treating product. The Examiner has identified a commercial product that contains 10% copper oxinate, namely, Nylate-10. The EPA registration for this product has been cancelled, but Applicant's attorney submits a Pesticides Database printout (Appendix B) showing the former uses for this product. It was used primarily on wood to prevent rot. This use differs from a pharmaceutical use of what is presently claimed.

Amended claim 1 distinguishes the Nylaate 10 product and West et al. by reciting a pharmaceutical grade material. This term is understood to mean that the claimed material meets the requisite standards for the intended environment of use, as is consistent with the specification (see paragraphs 20 and 44 of the published application). Pharmaceutical use is inapposite to use as a wood preservative. Therefore, claims 1 and 2 are not anticipated.

Claim Rejections—35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-7, 14-21, 34-37 and 39-52 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 95/03032 to Unilever. Unilever is said to describe the use of a composition comprising 8-hydroxyquinoline and Zn²⁺; however, the Examiner also explains that Unilever does not show use of at least 5% by weight of these materials. The Examiner asserts that motivation exists to use an increased concentration of 8-hydroxyquinoline and zinc because "the vehicle ranges from 2 to 99%." The examiner argues that the broad range of the vehicle (the carrier) permits selective design of the Unilever formulation to include virtually any amount of 8-hydroxyquinoline and zinc. Unilever fails to specify any particular amounts of 8-hydroxyquinoline and zinc whatsoever.

With all due respect, the rejection does not state a *prima facie* case because Unilever is nonanalogous art. The Unilever composition is a skin treatment agent that is designed to improve the appearance of dry, flaky or aged skin. This has noting to do with a composition for treating cancer, and those skilled in the art would not consult the skin emollient composition art when looking to provide a cancer drug. Unilever is not even reasonably pertinent to Applicants' field of endeavor.

It is also the case that Unilever failed to appreciate that using increased amounts of 8-hydroxyquinoline and zinc, as is claimed, would have an anticancer effect where also Unilever does not teach supplementation with zinc. Unilever merely teaches that zinc is to be sequestered—and this is done in-situ with respect to zinc in the native skin. See page 7 at lines 5-15. This teaches away from what is clamed because Unilever teaches the mitigation of native zinc, not supplementation by zinc.

As a further point on this same topic, it will be appreciated that a concentration of zinc chloride (see claim 39) generally above 40% is escharotic (see claim 1). Unilever

teaches a composition to improve the appearance of normal skin, not to chemically burn the skin away. Therefore, Unilever teachers away from what the Examiner proposes as motivation, expressly because the motivation proposed by the Examiner is inapposite to the purpose of the Unilever composition. It would not be possible to use up to 99% zinc oxinate as the Examiner suggests. Actually, this motivation is far beyond Unilever, which does not teach or suggest supplementation with zinc. This shows that the motivation is improperly made in hindsight.

Even assuming arguendo that the Examiner has stated a prima facie case, what is claimed is still patentable.

When an applicant seeks to overcome a *prima facie* case of obviousness by showing improved performance in a range that is within or overlaps with a range disclosed in the prior art, the applicant must "show that the [claimed] range is critical, generally by showing that the claimed range achieves unexpected results relative to the prior art range."

In re Geisler, 116 F.3d 1465, 43 USPQ2d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1997) (citing In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990). This is an extension of the Papesch doctrine:

The Hass and Henze cases, which are mentioned, ante- date section 103 and suggest, by way of dicta, that proof of the existence of unobvious or unexpected beneficial properties in a new compound, which would otherwise appear to be obvious (along with its properties), is indicative of the presence of "invention" and hence of patentability. What this comes down to, in final analysis, is a rather simple proposition: If that which appears, at first blush, to be obvious though new is shown by evidence not to be obvious then the evidence prevails over surmise or unsupported contention and a rejection based on obviousness must fall. Many cases, both before and after the enactment of section 103, have been decided according to such reasoning

In re Papesch, 315 F.2d 381, 137 USPQ 43, (CCPA 1963).

It is only fair to say that Unilever and Kolias in combination did not teach, suggest, or even purport to suspect, that use of the claimed range would be useful in the treatment of cancer. This is especially true of what is claimed as the minimum effective amount of 5% capped by a concentration that is not escharotic in healthy tissues. This result in the narrower range is, indeed, unexpected and the range is critical for this purpose.

The Examiner applies Kolias as disclosing that "the ingredients may comprise any amount that is effective and once a composition is known in the art it is within the skill of the artisan to determine optimum amounts and ratios of an ingredient. With all due respect, it is inappropriate to apply Kolias for the purpose of optimizing the skin-conditioner of Unilever. Optimization of the Unilever formulation would have produced an optimized skin conditioner, not an anticancer drug. This is not a case of routine optimization, especially where Unilever does not teach supplementation with zinc.

For the reasons explained above, Applicants' attorney respectfully requests withdrawal of the §103 rejection.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Double Patenting

As the rejection is provisional in nature and the claims of the other application have not been allowed, Applicants must delay the filing of a terminal disclaimer until it is necessary to do so.

The amended claims are patentable for the above reasons. No additional fees are seen to be due. However, if any additional fees are due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge them to deposit account No. 12-600.

Respectfully submitted,

LATHROP & GAGE, LC

Rv.

Den Cleveland, Jr., Reg. No. 36,106

4845 Pearl East Circle, Suite 302

Boulder, Colorado 80301 Telephone: (720) 931-3012 Facsimile: (720) 931-3001 .;

	DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION	=
	As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: Docket Number: 8020/00	2
1	,	
	My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "CHELATED 8-HYDROXYQUINOLINE AND USE THEREOF IN A METHOD OF TREATING EPITHELIAL LESIONS the specification of which is attached hereto unless the following is checked:	
	was filed on as United States Application Number or PCT Internations Application Number and was amended on (if applicable).	
	I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37; Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56.	ان. د
	I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.	
•	(Number) (Country) (Country) Yes No	į
,	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	
1.	I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentiability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, \$1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international in the national in the national or PCT international in the national international in	,
	(Application Number) (Filing Date) (Status - patented, pending, abandoned)	ı
	In nereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Donald M. Duft 17,484; James M. Graziano 28,300; Carl A. Forest 28,494; Mark A. Guetlich 38,900; Dan Cleveland, Jr. 36,106; Michael J. Setter 37,936; William P. Wilbar P43,265; Robert M. Meeks 40,723; and Steven W. Weinrieb 26,520. Address all telephone calls to Dan Cleveland, Jr., at Telephone No. (303) 449-9497 and address all correspondence to Dan Cleveland, Jr., DUFT, GRAZIANO & FOREST, P.C., 1790 - 30th Street, Sulte 140, Boulder, Colorado 80301-1018.	
	I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both, under Section 1001 of or any patent issued thereon.	11 11 11 11 11
F Ir R	rull name of sole or first inventor, if any (given name, family name) Russel T, Jordan, M.D. Ph.D. Residence Fort Collins, Colorado Citizonable 112	1
P	ost Office Address 1809 Indian Meadows Lane, Fort Collins, Colorado 80525	•
ا ا	ull name of second joint inventor, if any (given name, family name) <u>Carl C. Hanson, RPH</u>	!
,,	esidence Parker, Colorado Date Citizenship U.S.	
ų	Il name of third joint leventor. If any legisland	1
u N	Il name of third joint Inventor, If any give themse family name) Frank S. Polestio, M.D. Sidence Parker, Colorado Date 5-2-7	7
u N	Il name of third joint Inventor, If any give themse family name) Frank S. Polestio, M.D. Sidence Parker, Colorado Date 5-2-7	
u N	ll name of third joint layentor, if any given name family name) Frank S. Polestio, M.D. pentor's signature Date	

DEC-16-2005 FRI 02:37 PM LATHROP & GAGE

FAX NO. 7209313001

P. 14

FAIN FIDURE THE TOT MYTALE TO FORK

PAN Pesticides Database - Pesticide Products

Home > Pest Control Product Search

Product Name: Nylate 10-bfg

Help | Feedback

Note: See Working with the Information on this Page section below for important notes about this data.

Product ID

the p

Identifying information, including U.S. EPA registration number, product registration status, formulation and warning label, as well as links to sources of product labels and

MSDS information.

Toxicity

Summary of the toxicity properties of each active ingredient and the percent of each

active ingredient in the product.

Uses

Approved uses for the product by general use type, pest, and crop or location.

Registration

Product registration history, including initial date registered, date cancelled (if applicable), and date registration was transferred (if applicable).

Name, address, and identifying number of the company that registered the product.

Company

Name and address of the agent, if applicable.

Distributor Names

Complete list of names under which this product is sold. Often a company will register a single product and then sell the same product under many different brand names. The

'Distributor Name' list is a complete list of these names.

Product Identification for Nylate 10-bfg

Basic Identification Information About This Product

MSDS and Product Label

Select Source

U.S. EPA Product Reg No Product Registration Status 35977-16 Cancelled

Formulation :

Emulsifiable concentrate

Acute Hazard Warning Label

3 Caution

Restricted Use Product

PAN Rad Actor Product:

No. of names this product is sold under

1 (See bottom of page for complete list of products)

Toxicity for Nylate 10-bfg

Summary Toxicity Information for the Active Ingredients in this Product

For detailed chemical information click on the chemical names below

Active ingredients

Percent PAN Bad Actor

Chemical 1

Acute Toxicity 2 Carcinogon Cholinesteraso Developmental Endocrine

O.

Disruptor

Acuto Aquatic Toxicity

Copper 8-

Chemical Name

guinolinologte

400

Not Listed

Not Acutely Toxic Unclassiflable

Inhibitor

Reproductive **Toxin**

nttp://www.pesticideinfo.org/Detail_Product.jsp?REG_NR=03597700016&DIST_NR=035977

12/16/200

APPENDIX B

PAGE 14/18 * RCVD AT 12/16/2005 4:36:46 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/25 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:7209313001 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-52

Top 信

Top

Top ₫

PAIN FIGURE THE TOT TANKE TO-OTS

Cancellation Date: Oct 10, 1989

Cancel/Transfer Reason: Maint. fee non-payme

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Company and Agent Information for Nylate 10-bfg <u>Manufacturer</u>

Distributor

Maag agrochemicals

Po box 6430

Vero beach, FL 32961 Phone: 4075677506

No Agent, See Company Info.

No Additional Distributor, See Company

Company Number: 035977

Distributor Names for Nylate 10-bfg

Product names

Distributor

Product Type

Approval Date

Cancollation Date

Nylate 10-bfg

'O.

27.

Maag agrochemicals

Parent Product

Jan 13, 1984

Oct 10, 1989

Working with the information on this Page

Click on underlined terms for definitions or go to the Pesticide Tutorial overview page.

Any underlined term with a book icon That additional information.

To print this page, choose Print. To export this data, choose Save As 'HTML Source' and open it in Excel or equivalent program.

Citation; S. Orme and S. Kegley, PAN Pesticide Database, Posticide Action Network, North America (San Francisco, CA, 2006), http://www.pasticideinfo.org © 2000-2006 Posticide Action Nelwork, North America. All rights reserved.

http://www.pesticideinfo.org/Detail_Product.jsp?REG_NR=03597700016&DIST_NR=035977

12/16/20(

BEST AVAILABLE CO

DEC-16-2005 FRI 02:38 PM LATHROP & GAGE

FAX NO. 7209313001

P. 16

TAM TROUBER THE TOT INVISION TO-DIE

Page 2 o:

Indicates high toxicity in the given scientifle journals or registration documents, see the "Additional Resources for Toxicity " section of the Indicates no available weight-of-the-evidence assessment. For additional information on toxicity from chemical detail page for each active ingredient.

- 1. PAN Bad Actors are chemicals that are one or more of the following: highly acutely toxic, cholinesterase inhibitor, known/probable carcinogen, known groundwater pollutant or known reproductive or developmental toxicant. NOTE! Because there are no authoritative lists of Endocrine Disrupting (ED) chemicals, EDs are not yet considered PAN Bad Actor chemicals.
- 2. The acute toxicity reported here is for the pure active ingredient only and may not reflect the acute toxicity of individual posticide products. The acute toxicity of this product can be found in the Product ID section of this page, the Acute Hazard Warning Label.

Other Ingredients in this Product

By U.S. law, only active ingredients (Als) are reported. In addition to active ingredients, pesticide products may contain one or more "inert" ingredients. Many "inert" ingredients in current use have known adverse human and environmental effects.

U.S. EPA statement on inerts

U.S. EPA list of inerts NCAP Inerts Report (pdf)

Historic Uses in the U.S. for Nylate 10-bfg

Note! This product is now cancelled, when it was registered it was used for the following:

<u>Uses</u>

(*) Fungicide

Œ. Pests

> Ìii. · KKin

Mold/mildew

Crops and Locations

[4] Greenhouse benches (wood), Wood fences, Wood decks, Wood patios, Wood fences (soil contact nonfumigation treatment), Wood fences (nonsoil contact nonfumigation treatment), Wood (construction) (soil contact non-fumigation treatment), Wood beams (nonsoil contact nonfumination treatment). Wood protection in to finished wood products, Wood frames, Wood millwork, Wood shingles, Wood shingles (roof) Wood picnic tables . Playground equipment (wood)(nonsoll contact nonfumigation treatment) . Wood structural parts (nonsoll contact nonfurnigation treatment) , Wood plant stakes , Wood greenhouse flats (soi) contact nonfurnigation treatment) , Wood mushroom treys (soi) 🦸 contact nonfumination treatment), Wood protection trt to wooden food/legd containers, Wood produce containers, Wood interior walls/floors (wheeled vehicles), Wood interior components (refrigerators/walk-in cold storage units), Wood boat holds (interior), Wood swimming pool walks. Wood cases. Wood pressure treatment, Wood components (showers/bathrooms), Cooling tower wood, Rope, Textiles (cotton), Cotton rope . Cotton duck , Cotton webbing . Wood surfaces . Wood surfaces (outdoor)

U.S. Product Registration History for Nylate 10-bfg

U.S. EPA Product Reg No: 35977-16

U.S. State Registration Searches

Product Registration Status: Cancelled

Approval Date: Jan 13, 1984

ttp://www.pesticideinfo.org/Detail_Product.jsp?REG_NR=03597700016&DIST_NR=035977

12/16/200:

APPENDIX B

PAGE 16/18 * RCVD AT 12/16/2005 4:36:46 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/25 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:7209313001 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-52

N.F